#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

KAWAMIYA, Osamu

AOYAMA & PARTNERS, IMP Building, 3-7, Shiromi 1-chome, Chuo-ku, Osaka-shi, Osa ka 5400001 Japan



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

FOR FURTHER ACTION

**02. 8**. 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference 665092

International filing date (day/month/year)

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/JP2005/004870

14.03.2005

Priority date (day/month/year) 12.03.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

InLCl<sup>7</sup> G01C19/56, G01P9/04

Applicant

MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC WORKS, LTD.

1.	This	opinion	contains	indic	ations r	elating	to the	following	ilems:
	3 1110	Opanon	COMMIN	111010	GELOIT'S I	CILLING	W/ MIL	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

~ Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion

Box No. II

Priority

Box No. III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Box No. IV

Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

citations and explanations supporting such statement

Box No. VI

Certain documents cited

~

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

#### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Date of completion of this opinion 19.07.200	5			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer		25	9402
Japan Patent Office	Yoshiro Ariie			
3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan	Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101	Ext.	3258	



International application No.

PCT/JP2005/004870

Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.		ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	Th	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	Ru	les 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the avention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a type of	material
		a sequence listing
	Г	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format	of material
	Γ	in written format
	Γ	in computer readable form
	c. time of	filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
	Γ	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	Γ	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. 1	file	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been d or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that he application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4	Additiona	comments:

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/004870

Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
1. In respons	te to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
Γ	paid additional fees
Г	paid additional fees under protest
⊽	not paid additional fees
	•
	authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to ditional fees.
3. This Autho	rity considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
Com	plied with
₽ not	complied with for the following reasons:
	he features in claim1 are disclosed in D1. Remaining feature is "support spring" relative to a support base plate a mass body in only one direction, which just belongs to the common knowledge to the person skilled in the art, as 12-D5.
Also, feature D1.	es written in claims 3-5, 7, 14 are disclosed in D1 or just trivial. So claims 1, 3-5, 7, 14 lack nevelty compared to
D1: JP 2003-1	94545 A (Par.[0015],Par.[0024]-[0030],Fig.3-5,10-12)
D2: JP 11-252	26 A (Par.[0014],Par.[0012], Fig.1)
D3: JP 6-2816	665 A (Par.[0053], Fig.1)
D4: JP 7-2393	339 A (Par.[0019])
D5: JP 2001-8	32964 A (Par.[0079])
Consequentl	y, common features to claims 1-16 turned out not to be special, in the meaning of the second sentence in PCT R.
	special technical feature found common to the remaining claims below:
	nape of the support spring
	apacitance-adjusting electrode ivided stationary driving electrode
	istance-adjusting electrode
Claim 10/Sta	ationary driving electrode avoids a maximum amplitude region
	aid electrode wiring"(not mentioned in preceding claims)
	ickness of driven mass body
	rough-hole in driven mass body ecified structure to detect acceleration
	ing a pair of gyro sensors
It is obviou	s that the separate inventions above are not linked so as to form a single general inventive concept.
4. Consequer	ntly, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
T all	parts.
₩ the	parts relating to claims Nos. 1-5, 7, 14

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/004870

	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
ent					
velty (N)	Claims Claims	<u>2</u> <u>1, 3-5, 7, 14</u>	YES NO		
entive step (IS)	Claims Claims	1-5, 7, 14	YES NO		
ustriał applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	1-5, 7, 14	YES NO		
		ent  velty (N)  Claims  Claims  entive step (IS)  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims	citations and explanations supporting such statement  ent  velty (N)  Claims  Claims  1, 3-5, 7, 14  entive step (IS)  Claims  Claims  1-5, 7, 14  ustrial applicability (IA)  Claims  1-5, 7, 14		

#### 2. Citations and explanations

Almost all the features in claim1 are disclosed in D1. Remaining feature is "support spring" relative to a support base plate extends from a mass body in only one direction, which just belongs to the common knowledge to the person skilled in the art, as is shown in D2-D5.

Also, features written in claims 3-5, 7, 14 are disclosed in DI or just trivial. So claims 1, 3-5, 7, 14 lack novelty compared to D1.

D1: JP 2003-194545 A (Par.[0015],Par.[0024]-[0030],Fig.3-5,10-12)

D2: JP 11-2526 A (Par.[0014],Par.[0012], Fig.1)

D3: JP 6-281665 A (Par.[0053], Fig.1)

D4: JP 7-239339 A (Par.[0019])

D5: JP 2001-82964 A (Par.[0079])

Shape of the springs mentioned in Claim 2 is known as shown in Figure 1 of D2, which is obvious to be applied by a person skilled in the art. So claim 2 tacks inventive step.

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/004870

Box No. VII Certain d	efects in the international appl	ication		
The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:				
Claim 11 inclu- wiring mention	des the word "said ed in preceding o	electrode wiring claims.	"but there is no electrode	

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VII) (January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/004870

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application
The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:
Claim 11 includes the word "said electrode wiring" but there is no electrode wiring mentioned in preceding claims.